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Looking back at the past year as the Chair of the Ngarrindjeri Regional Authority, I am pleased to be able to say that the Kungun Ngarrindjeri Yunnan Agreement (KNYA) has been a success. The KNYA has provided opportunities for the Ngarrindjeri people to extend the work that we are doing as a Nation that otherwise would not have been possible. The negotiation of the KNYA between the NRA and the Government [South Australian Government] assisted us to address the terrible effects of the drought on our Ruwe Ruwar [Country], which had caused great stress to the Ngarrindjeri people. We have achieved a number of positive outcomes through the KNYA that have helped to heal our Country and our people.

Another of the positive outcomes has been the opportunity to get to know Government people and to begin the process of creating a respectful relationship between them and the Ngarrindjeri people. My hope is that we can extend the KNYAs and respectful relationships we have begun with the current members of the Taskforce to all areas of Government.

Introduction
For the Ngarrindjeri First Nation in South Australia, Ngarrindjeri means belonging to Yarluwar-Ruwe (Sea Country) – the Lower Murray River, the Lakes and Coorong region (see Figure 1). In 1836 the British established the Colony of South Australia heralding a
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period of violent invasion, murder, dispossession, oppression, and the ongoing colonisation of Ngarrindjeri Yarluwar-Ruwe. Elders describe living through colonisation as being in a perpetual state of longing for wellbeing – ‘parpun miwi’. In the face of this intense colonisation Ngarrindjeri have not ceded sovereignty, nor fundamental responsibility to speak lawfully as Country – as Yarluwar-Ruwe. Ngarrindjeri maintain fundamental interconnection with Country under extremely difficult circumstances. Perhaps ironically, human induced climate change has brought with it huge flows of resources into remedial natural resource management (NRM), and with these flows, opportunities for strategic Ngarrindjeri resistance and re-direction. For several decades some of these flows of resources and energy have been re-directed by nation leaders to support the work of Ngarrindjeri nation (re)building. Former chair of the Ngarrindjeri Regional Authority (NRA), Tom Trevorrow, emphasised the success of this approach in his statement at the beginning of this paper. He attributed success to the development and negotiation of a new form of relationship agreement – the Kungun Ngarrindjeri Yunnan Agreement (Listen to Ngarrindjeri Speaking). These contract law agreements are treaty-like in form, establish nation-to-nation relations and begin a new respectful dialogue between the parties. They stand in stark contrast to the disrespectful, paternalistic subject position of the consulted ‘stakeholder’, asserting, instead, an authoritative Ngarrindjeri speaking position on Ngarrindjeri terms.

Ngarrindjeri Yarluwar-Ruwe is part of the living body of Murrundi or what is described by the Australian settler state as Australia’s longest river – the Murray River. The Murray is the longest river in the Murray-Darling Basin (M-DB) - Australia’s food bowl or the ‘life-blood’ of the nation. For several decades the M-DB has been in crisis due to human induced climate change and colonising, extractive management practices. In the early decades of the twenty first century the ‘Millennium Drought’ witnessed the Murray mouth closing, major restrictions to potable water for regional cities, interruptions to water diversion for irrigation, the exposure of acid sulphate soils, and other ecological catastrophes. This ‘environmental crisis’ brought with it intense flows of complex non-Indigenous interests and significant resources intended by non-Indigenous governments to improve ecological conditions. Ngarrindjeri diplomatic, political, and legal strategies required a complex understanding of the force, characteristics and interconnectedness of these changing conditions. In response, Ngarrindjeri leaders and advisors developed strategies designed to change the quality, speed and intensity of these flows towards an overarching goal of securing Ngarrindjeri wellbeing through nation (re)building. The authors of this paper have contributed to this decades-long work and this discussion draws on these experiences. Our case study focusses on the legal, political, diplomatic and policy work required to successfully form a
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long-term working relationship between an Australian First Nation and one of the settler state’s most powerful colonising technologies - natural resource management (NRM). 8

This developing Ngarrindjeri approach to nation (re)building began with a clear understanding that standard forms of engagement continued to be colonising, paternalistic, exclusionary, and disrespectful. The baseline for interaction often included a requirement to address a settler state declaration of Ngarrindjeri extinction, and a commonly accepted non-Indigenous belief that the only surviving things of value to contemporary South Australia were the ‘Aboriginal relics’, and archaeological ‘sites’ left as evidence of ‘pre-European’, ‘primitive’ lives. 9 NRM tended to exclude any reference to Ngarrindjeri, decribing and managing, for example, a ‘wetland or swamp’ as a completely ‘white’ space.10 Taking a sovereign Ngarrindjeri nation stance, from this position of constructed extinction, was enormously challenging. Ngarrindjeri leaders began the work of re-aligning relations towards what can be described as a treaty style relationship.11 As we have argued elsewhere this transformation required political, legal and theorised research support to achieve fundamental changes to the ‘extinguishing’ characteristics of contact zone relations in South Australia.12 An important example of the strength of Ngarrindjeri political will is the Ngarrindjeri Proclamation of Dominion presented to the Governor of South Australia on December 17th 2003. Ngarrindjeri leaders formally proclaim that:

The Ngarrindjeri have always occupied the traditional lands of the Ngarrindjeri Nation and, Ngarrindjeri have never ceded nor sold our lands and waters …our homeland as traditional delineated, including all waters, foreshore and riverbed thereof, is now and always has been occupied by Ngarrindjeri.13

In 2017 the authors of this paper were of the first Ngarrindjeri treaty negotiating team, with Daryle Rigney lead Ngarrindjeri negotiator and spokesperson, and Shaun principal legal negotiator. Before treaty negotiations in South Australia were abruptly ceased by a new conservative Government, Ngarrindjeri secured a formal commitment to enter into a treaty between Ngarrindjeri and the Crown in the Right of South Australia.14

Resetting relations, Ngarrindjeri nation (re)building and the Murray Futures Program

In the late 1980s Ngarrindjeri publicly argued their continuing spiritual and lawful connection and responsibilities to the lands and waters in the Murray River mouth area (Meeting of the Waters). Recently passed Aboriginal heritage protection legislation gave Ngarrindjeri their
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first opportunity to ‘legally’ attempt to protect parts of Yarluwar-Ruwe in the face of proposed developments. Using non-Indigenous legislation proved to be a disaster, and after a high-profile political and legal battle, Ngarrindjeri lost protection for the ‘Meeting of the Waters’ in a High Court decision that excluded only the Ngarrindjeri from federal heritage protection.\textsuperscript{15} In 1995, just prior to the High Court case, Ngarrindjeri claims were declared a fabrication by the South Australian Hindmarsh Island Bridge Royal Commission.\textsuperscript{16}

In 2010, after years of Ngarrindjeri diplomacy, legal challenges, negotiation and activism, the South Australian government made a public apology to Ngarrindjeri for the trauma caused by the questioning of Ngarrindjeri belief, law and ways of being.\textsuperscript{17} This major shift in relations was produced by sustained Ngarrindjeri resistance and Ngarrindjeri-led transformations to existing colonising relations. Fundamental to the Ngarrindjeri approach was the development of the unique Kungun Ngarrindjeri Yunnan Agreement (KNYA) contract law strategy. These agreements established a nation-to-nation relationship between the Ngarrindjeri and South Australia government via NRM and cultural heritage management (CHM). This was radically different established regimes of engagement based on the superiority of western science, paternalistic consultation, ‘the politics of recognition’, and the designation of ‘Aboriginal people’ as mere ‘stake-holders’ - all features of contact zone relations in the neoliberal Australian settler state.\textsuperscript{18} This re-alignment of relations, and new form of speaking together, required an agreement that the representatives of the settler state stop speaking – mrrlidha ma tu:ri (shut your mouth) and kungun Ngarrindjeri yunnan (listen to Ngarrindjeri speaking). The KNYA agreements act as a form of ‘estoppel’, setting in place a radically new way of speaking on/as Ngarrindjeri Yarluwar-Ruwe.\textsuperscript{19} In 2009 a KNYA bound the Crown in the Right of South Australia to this new way of speaking (and acting).\textsuperscript{20} A potentially ‘honourable’ form of dialogue became possible between the parties to the KNYA creating space for Ngarrindjeri to speak lawfully as Country.

At the start of the new millennium South Australia’s River Murray region was plunged into a major drought (the Millennium Drought) that severely restricted the flow of fresh water through Ngarrindjeri Yarluwar-Ruwe. Human-induced climate change and over-allocation of water by industry and non-Indigenous governments, led to massive degradation of Ngarrindjeri Country. Under the banner of the Murray Futures Program, the state and federal government began major plans for large-scale environmental restoration, engineering works and supporting ecological research. These plans appeared to Ngarrindjeri leaders like a


\textsuperscript{19} Estoppel in common law traditions can be understood as a legal principle that prevents someone from saying something that contradicts something said previously.

rapid intensification of colonisation, exhibiting no evidence of understandings of Ngarrindjeri
interconnections with Yarluwar-Ruwe, recognition of the ongoing injustice of colonisation or
an indication that there was a need to negotiate with the Ngarrindjeri nation.

During this period Ngarrindjeri leaders had been working towards the establishment of the
NRA as a new peak nation body to lead political negotiations with the settler state. One of
the first things this body did, after its inauguration in 2007, was to adopt the *Ngarrindjeri
Nation Yarluwar-Ruwe (Sea Country) Plan* as a whole-of-nation foundational document. The
Yarluwar-Ruwe Plan is a key part Ngarrindjeri nation (re)building giving voice to Ngarrindjeri
authority, prioritising the displacement of racist and archaic representations of Ngarrindjeri,
and designed to tame the destructive power of the Foucauldian ‘colonial archive’. The Plan
makes plain the ‘pain and suffering’ caused by ongoing colonisation:

Since European arrival, terrible crimes have been committed against the lands,
the waters and all living things, and against the Ngarrindjeri People. Ngarrindjeri
are living with the pain and suffering from the acts of terror and violence that were
inflicted upon our Old People. This pain has been passed down to us through the
generations. Our lands and waters were stolen, our children were stolen and our
Old People’s bodies were stolen from our burial grounds.

The *Yarluwar-Ruwe Plan* also includes an explanation of key elements of Ngarrindjeri ways
of being, a Ngarrindjeri account of the impacts of colonisation, and centres the KNYA
strategy as the appropriate mechanism for non-Indigenous engagement with the Ngarrindjeri
nation. The South Australian Minister of Environment, Jay Wetherill, formally launched the
Plan in March 2007 – an important publicly respectful step made by the South Australian
Labor Government. Despite the South Australian government’s formal acknowledgement of
the *Yarluwar-Ruwe Plan*, difficult and lengthy negotiations began between Ngarrindjeri
leaders and the South Australian government in relation the proposed *Murray Futures
Program*. Eventually mid-way through 2009 a whole-of-government KNYA was negotiated
beginning the radical re-shaping of the colonising relationship Ngarrindjeri had with the
settler state. This agreement framed the introduction of a radically different form of
Indigenous engagement in NRM, one that transformed the *Murray Futures Program* towards
a co-managed, environmental strategy for Ngarrindjeri Country. By 2015, the Ngarrindjeri
Nation, in partnership with the South Australian government, had won the Australian
Riverprize for best practice in river management.

**Changing colonising flows: new spaces of diplomacy, negotiation and creativity**

To provide force to Ngarrindjeri nation (re)building, Ngarrindjeri require flows of fresh water
to come with justice, respect, recognition and resources. Positive force can be understood
as a ‘just’ realignment of relations supporting the flow or movement of resources, energy,
and human effort into activities that nourish Ngarrindjeri nation goals. A Ngarrindjeri-led
program of research has been integral to securing these changed flows, developing and
refining methods for identifying ‘risks’ to Ngarrindjeri wellbeing that combine theoretically
informed political literacy and long-standing Ngarrindjeri decision-making processes – this
decision-making methodology is called Ngarrindjeri Yannarumi (Ngarrindjeri speaking lawful
as Country). Through Ngarrindjeri nation (re)building, KNYAs, and Yannarumi
assessments, unhealthy and unjust ‘intra-actions’ can be monitored, challenged and
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transformed. This form of politically literate Indigenous nation building was significantly refined during the NRA’s, KNYA-framed engagement in the South Australian broader Murray Futures Program (2009-2017). For example, the Yannarumi methodology was tested and further developed in settings such as small-scale wetland planning, Ramsar wetland planning, water planning risk assessment, educational policy and business development. This work required complex translation and connection methodologies specific to often very different contexts.

Ngarrindjeri leaders’ decisions addressing non-Indigenous invasion have always identified risks to Ngarrindjeri Yarluwar-Ruwe based on Ngarrindjeri lawful ways of being. These decisions have sought to minimise risks to core aspects of Ngarrindjeri ways of being, and create ways to resist potential damage, or transform incursions into positive opportunities. Ngarrindjeri authority, however, has been severely impaired by British invasion from the earliest times through to the first KNYAs. More recently, Ngarrindjeri nation (re)building has been invigorated by an evolving Yannarumi methodology, sharpened by growing Ngarrindjeri political literacy. This theoretically informed, political and legal policy work supports Ngarrindjeri leadership in complex contact zone intra-actions requiring translation, negotiation, and articulation. The aim is to resist, understand and transform risks to Ngarrindjeri wellbeing emerging from sectors such as mainstream NRM. This enables Ngarrindjeri to generate new relationships with the settler state, monitor them in a range of settings, and articulate the principles of these relationship into projects, everyday practices, policies and new ways of living together with Ngarrindjeri Yarluwar-Ruwe.

Indigenous nation building work requires certain kinds of institutional support, particular kinds of personalities, thinking, collaborating, energy, and commitment. With these kinds of elements, this ‘intensity’, new mechanisms for engagement can be created, negotiated, installed, maintained, and continually adapted. From a Ngarrindjeri nation (re)building perspective the consequences of an active and a healthier Ngarrindjeri nation also creates beneficial flows towards the agencies of the settler state. The mixing of these flows, channelled through respectful relations (such KNYAs or a treaties) has the potential to create healthier outcomes and restore ‘interconnected benefit’ for Ngarrindjeri Yarluwar-Ruwe (the lands, waters, all people and all living things). Ngarrindjeri attempted to embed this relational thinking into a new Ecological Character Description (ECD) associated with the management of major Rama listed wetlands on Ngarrindjeri Country. A Ngarrindjeri-led team conducted a Yannarumi wellbeing assessment centred around healthy flows and relationships reproducing beneficial consequences. The Ngarrindjeri assessment included the identification of Ngarrindjeri qualities of such as ngroi (pleased, disposed towards wellbeing) and katjeni (beautiful, healthy, lawful, reproductive). This Ngarrindjeri policy and planning work with the South Australian government on projects such as re-writing a Ramsar
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site management plan required the production of new, less violent spaces of engagement produced by the foundational KNYAs.

Through targeted Ngarrindjeri-led research projects, focussed on understanding the complexities of settler state NRM, Ngarrindjeri identified KNYAs, along with associated agreements and protocols, as a suite of negotiated risk management tools that establish the basis for what counts as respectful relations between Ngarrindjeri and the settler state. This research produced a new relational language of translation and the development of specific connection methodologies in contexts such as water planning risk management. When discussing KNYAs in NRM contexts they can also be understood as a form of regulator or weir. At the height of the Millennium Drought, for example, Ngarrindjeri negotiated the final form of environmental engineering proposals through a KNYA with the South Australian Government. This KNYA included an agreement to disagree in a respectful manner, and through a compromise, the construction of a set of regulators that temporarily blocked River flows into the Lower Lakes, Coorong and Murray Mouth Region. If colonisation for Ngarrindjeri Yarluwar-Ruve is conceptualised as a flow of energy and resources towards ever-increasing non-Indigenous naming, control, management and exploitation, then KNYAs are an attempt to redirect these flows, stopping settler colonial ‘speaking into being’, providing time/space to think, negotiate and create differently. In this way the concept of estoppel in British law takes form in KNYAs in the service of diplomacy, peace-making and the disconnection of continuities in settler speaking and acting that have produced a white South Australian space.

Conclusion
The Murray-Darling Basin requires re-engineering away from a form that is designed to exclusively nourish a settler Australian vision of Australian life. Ngarrindjeri need a restored Murray-Darling Basin that once again nourishes Ngarrindjeri wellbeing. As indigenous scholars Kyle Powys Whyte (Potawatomi), Chris Caldwell (Menominee), and Marie Schaefer (Odawa) write:

Waves of settlement seek to incise their own ecologies required for their societies to survive and flourish in the landscapes they seek to occupy permanently. In settlement, the territories were already inscribed with Indigenous ecologies that result from Indigenous practices of survival and flourishing.

The Indigenous ecologies physically manifest Indigenous governance systems through origin, religious, and cultural narratives, ways of life, political structures, and economies.

In recent decades Ngarrindjeri leaders and their supporters have resisted intensified colonisation, challenging Australian governments and their ‘integrated natural resource management’ bureaucracy over questions of justice, authority, sovereignty and the decolonisation of existing and long-standing practices. Through resistance, hard diplomacy, formal agreement making, and strategic thinking, Ngarrindjeri secured the redirection of flows of resources to support the work of Ngarrindjeri nation (re)building. For Ngarrindjeri the largest source of risk to the health of Ngarrindjeri Yarluwar-Ruve, however, remains a fragile and subservient relationship with settler state authorities, and the subsequent lack of Ngarrindjeri authority in decision-making processes that fundamentally impact Ngarrindjeri lives.
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**Figure 1:** Ngarrindjeri Yarluwar-Ruwe (Country) showing some Ngarrindjeri dialect names. Source: Hemming, Jones and Clarke 1989.